

Philosophy, Mathematics and Formal Logics

Craig Harrison

*Department of Philosophy
San Francisco State University*

and

William M. Pezzaglia Jr.

*Department of Physics
Santa Clara University*

May 15, 2004

Acknowledgements

Draft prepared in L^AT_EX format.

About the Authors

Craig Harrison

born 1933, London, England

B.A. History (1959), Ph.D. Philosophy (1967), Stanford University

Associate Professor of Philosophy

San Francisco State University
1600 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94132

William Marvyn Pezzaglia Jr.

born 1953, Sacramento, California

B.S. Physics (1975), Ph.D. (1983) Physics, University of California, Davis

Adjunct Professor of Physics

Santa Clara University
500 El Camino Real
Santa Clara, CA 95053

Preface

What we Hope to Accomplish in this Work

An introductory course in formal or symbolic logic is a subject widely required for philosophy majors at both the graduate and undergraduate levels, and is often considered essential to the pursuit of philosophy. Why this should be so is not entirely clear, especially to the students who take the course.

A typical text first teaches the student to “symbolize” certain artificial statements. Here is a random sample quoted from a few highly regarded works which happen to be on my bookshelf:

- Either Adam is blond and loves Eve, or he is not blond and Eve loves him. –Teller (1989)[94].
- If Holmes has bungled or Watson’s on the job, then Moriarty will make a mistake. –Jeffrey (1991)[38].
- Either Sam will come to the party and Max will not, or Sam will not come to the party and Max will enjoy himself. –Mendelson (1987)[59].
- If Jones is ill or Smith is away, then neither will the Argus deal be concluded nor will the directors will declare a dividend unless Robinson comes to his senses and takes matters into his own hands. – Quine (1972)[68].

These are to be symbolized into formulas in two-valued “propositional” or “sentence” logic, depending on whether the author believes in propositions or not. Having done this, the student is to learn to solve problems, typically to determine whether a given argument containing assumptions and a conclusion consisting of statements of this sort, is valid or not, by determining whether the resulting formal argument is valid by means of truth tables, or a formal proof of the conclusion from the assumptions (or a combination of both). A similar though more general method is used to solve such problems in first order logic or “predicate logic”. Truth trees are also gradually increasing in popularity.

Having been drilled by constant repetition in these methods, one of two outcomes in, in or experience, all too common:

1. The student satisfies the other requirements, but forgets or rarely applies the methods learned in the introductory course.

2. The student then continues the study of logic and finds herself unprepared and disoriented by the material next presented, which is more or less a formal study of meta-logic or meta-mathematics.

Now some students manage to survive the “just do it and don’t ask questions” approach, which is arguably the most efficient, and is also not uncommon in the teaching of high school algebra. But students at the college level, particularly students of philosophy, want to know and understand what the subject is about, what it has to do with philosophy, and what the motivations are for the ideas being introduced, and are uncomfortable in proceeding further until they have got some answers with which they are satisfied.

We believe that they deserve answers, and in this book, we try to provide them. To this end, we discuss the basics in each chapter, and reserve more extended discussion of the motivations and philosophical significance of the ideas introduced, as well as more detailed and exact explanations of the nature of the concepts and the abstract objects which represent them, to optional sections. This gives the reader (or the instructor, as the case may be) some flexibility when it comes to deciding which additional material to study.

We also try to be forthright and candid about the scope and applicability of the material introduced, and not to pretend by carefully selected examples, that it is wider than it is.

Some Philosophical Presuppositions Concerning the Nature of Formal Logic

Formal systems of logic, especially two-valued propositional or Boolean logics, have a close affinity to algebra, in particular to *Boolean* algebras. Experience has shown that Boolean logics, and their extension to first and higher order logics, and other related systems, are helpful when it comes to formalizing and clarifying mathematical concepts and proofs. We also try, especially in the concluding chapter, to explain how all these ideas came about, as well as their range of application, and their limitations. Besides that, we try to show how these ideas developed historically, and their connection with philosophy, which since Plato have been deep and numerous.

Introduction

Logic is a branch of mathematics, and the mathematical structures that arise from it are extensively studied, within the framework of such mathematical disciplines as set theory, topology, category theory and lattice theory. It is also a traditional part of the philosophy curriculum, especially at the graduate level, and it receives some attention in mathematical studies and in computer science as well.

It was long the province of metaphysics and of general philosophy, until as a result of the work of George Boole, it became inseparable from mathematics. The study of mathematics has in turn been important in Western philosophy since its inception, at the time of Pythagoras and Plato, and has remained so to this day.

Yet the way in which formal logic is introduced to the beginner is all too often devoid of context and entirely unmotivated. The emphasis is exclusively on the development of “skills”, such as symbolizing statements nobody in their right mind would ever make, or on endless drills in performing algorithms. And this does a disservice to the student and to the subject, and makes further progress all the more difficult.

Philosophers do not take things on faith. When they are pursuing a subject, they want to understand what they are doing and why they are doing it. We believe that a basic knowledge of science and of mathematics in particular, is important to philosophy, and to the understanding of reality. You can't philosophize about science without knowing what you're talking about.

We have tried to introduce the subject of logic with candor and without evasion, to explain the underlying motivations and the context which made them important, and hopefully, to give some idea of the manifold possibilities and the diversity of the subject, which like many other fields, has increased dramatically in the last century or so.

In the first introductory chapter, we provide an account of the concepts on which formal logic is based. In the second chapter, we introduce the simple arithmetical operations which define what is meant in symbolic logic by ‘not’, ‘and’, and ‘or’. In the next chapter, we take a closer look at what this all means than is customary in regular algebra, particularly when it concerns the substitution of actual numbers for the variables in algebraic formulas.

In Chapter 4, we discuss various methods of determining whether a sentence follows from given assumptions, and we also show that these methods really

work. In the next chapter, we explore various alternatives to the regular two-valued logic which was heretofore our main concern. In the next three chapters, we extend the discussion to cover generalities and statements of existence, which cannot be done in the logic of “not’s, and’s and or’s” alone. In the final chapter, we provide an account of the philosophical issues which lend importance to the most dramatic discoveries in the past century.

We may add that we take formulas to denote the Boolean truth values 0 and 1, much as in ordinary algebra, formulas denote numbers. We also define formulas involving quantifiers so as not to allow colliding quantifiers, an unnecessary and avoidable subtlety. For similar reasons, we permit only closed formulas in proofs or proof trees. Moreover, we take every element in the domain of a structure to have a name, even if there are uncountably many of them, instead of taking free variables to have variable denotations. If this precludes a physicalist interpretation of proper names, so be it.

We have added optional sections to supplement the core material, hopefully to provide further insight into it, or else alternative approaches. Chapters 5 and 8 are also largely optional. This will, we hope, provide the reader (or instructor) with more flexibility in what subjects to address.

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
About the Authors	v
Preface	vii
Introduction	ix
I Propositional Logics	1
1 Introductory Concepts: Sets, Functions,...	3
1.1 Logic: An Overview	3
1.1.1 What is Logic For?	3
1.2 Sets	4
1.2.1 Naming Sets	5
1.2.2 The Principle of Extensionality: When are Two Sets Equal?	6
1.2.3 Unit Sets, the Empty Set and Finite and Infinite Sets	7
1.2.4 Subsets, and Extensionality Again	7
1.2.5 Basic Operations on Sets	8
1.2.6 Ordered Pairs, Triples, Quadruples, etc.	12
1.2.7 What Is an Ordered Pair	13
1.3 Functions	14
1.3.1 On Functions	14
1.3.2 Functions with Several Inputs	20
1.4 Truth Functions	21
1.4.1 Truth Valued Functions and Truth Functions	21
2 Binary Boolean Arithmetic	25
2.1 Reasoning by Calculation from Leibniz to Boole	25
2.1.1 Boolean Algebra and Modern Logic: Introductory Remarks	25
2.2 Boole's Arithmetic...	29
2.2.1 Symbolizing Boolean Statements and Calculating their Truth Values	31
2.2.2 Calculating Truth Values: Some Examples	33

2.3	Material Implication, ... Exclusive ‘Or’	36
2.3.1	Truth Functional or Boolean Implication	36
2.3.2	Material Equivalence and Exclusive Disjunction	39
2.4	About The Truth Functional Conditional	40
2.4.1	Why the conditional of Boolean	40
2.4.2	The Material Conditional in Ordinary Reasoning.	42
2.5	Boolean Syntax	44
2.5.1	What is a Boolean Language?	45
2.5.2	Boolean Formation Rules	47
2.5.3	Trees and their Uses	48
2.5.4	The Unique Readability Theorem.	52
2.6	Game-Theoretical Semantics	56
2.6.1	Boolean Games	56
2.6.2	How To Win a Boolean Game.	59
2.7	How to Believe that you will Believe a Falsehood	62
2.7.1	On the Island of Knights and Knaves	62
3	Truth Functional Logic: Determining Validity and Satisfiability by Calculation	37
3.1	Boolean Paralogisms as “Errors in Calculation”	37
3.1.1	Boolean Word Problems	37
3.2	TRUTH FUNCTIONAL ENTAILMENT	39
3.2.1	Boolean Formulas and Truth Functional Entailment Be- tween Them	39
3.3	PROPOSITIONAL LANGUAGES	41
3.3.1	What is a Boolean Language?	41
3.4	BOOLEAN SEMANTICS: TRUTH AND SATISFIABILITY	42
3.4.1	Truth Value Assignments and Boolean Valuations	42
3.4.2	Satisfying Formulas and Sets of Formulas	43
3.5	The Basic Problems of Boolean Logic	43
3.5.1	The Basic Problems of Boolean Logic, and How to Solve Them by Calculating with Vectors	43
3.5.2	Solving the Basic Problems by Truth Value Analysis	43
3.6	The Syntax of Boolean Formulas II	43
3.6.1	Subformula Trees and Valuation Trees	43
3.6.2	An Algorithm for Finding the Main Connective and the Immediate Subformulas of a Given Formula	43
3.7	Game-Theoretical Semantics for Boolean Logic: An Alternative to Direct Calculation	43
3.7.1	Boolean Games	43
3.8	About the Truth Functional or Material Conditional	43
3.8.1	Why the Conditional of Boolean Reasoning is the Material Conditional	43
3.8.2	The Material Conditional in Ordinary Reasoning: Some Pitfalls	43
3.9	Spatializing Logical Relations: The Geometry of Entailment	43

3.9.1	Formal Propositions a Points in Space	43
4	Boolean Reasoning	45
4.1	Methods of Boolean Logic: Boolean Equations	46
4.1.1	Boolean Equations	46
4.1.2	Finding Solutions to Sets of Boolean Equations, or Showing that None Exist	46
4.1.3	Solving the Basic Problems of Boolean Logic with Equation Trees	46
4.2	Methods of Boolean Logic: Formal Proofs	46
4.2.1	Boolean Natural Deduction	46
4.2.2	Summarizing the Rules	46
4.3	Methods of Boolean Logic: Truth Trees	46
4.3.1	Truth Trees	46
4.3.2	Solving the Satisfiability, Validity and Tautology Problems using Truth Trees	46
4.4	Reasoning about Boolean Reasoning	46
4.4.1	Basis Vectors	46
4.4.2	Vectors and Duality	46
4.4.3	The Proof of the Pudding: the soundness and Completeness Theorems for the Natural Deduction System	46
5	Non-Classical Logics	47
5.1	Some Alternative Propositional Logics	47
5.1.1	Philosophy and Logic	47
5.1.2	On Modal Logics	48
5.1.3	Intuitionist Logic	50
5.1.4	Many-Values Logics and Fuzzy Logics	51
5.1.5	Quantum Logic	53
5.1.6	Philosophy and Logic Again: The Struggle Between Opposites	55
5.1.7	Embracing Contradictions: Formal Systems which are Non-Trivial but Inconsistent	57
II	Quantifier Logics	63
6	Zero Order Reasoning and . . .	65
6.1	Zero Order Logic	65
6.1.1	Zero Order vs. Boolean Languages	66
6.1.2	Doing Arithmetic in a Zero Order Language	69
6.1.3	The Completeness of the Axiom System	72
6.1.4	Structures and Valuations of Zero Order Languages	72
6.2	Interpretations and Validity in	74
6.2.1	Transition from Monadic Zero Order Languages to Uniform Monadic First Order Languages	75

6.2.2	Categorical Syllogisms in Uniform First Order Languages	77
7	First Order Reasoning	81
7.1	First Order Semantics	81
7.1.1	First Order Languages and Multiple Quantification: Sentences, Interpretations and Truth	82
7.1.2	Elementary and Higher Order Structures	84
7.1.3	Models of Sets of Sentences: Some Examples	86
7.1.4	First Order Games	89
7.2	Methods of First Order Logic I: Truth Trees	90
7.2.1	First Order Truth Trees	91
7.3	Methods of First Order Logic II...	97
7.3.1	Natural Deduction in First Order Logic: Formal Proofs	98
7.3.2	The Basic Problems of First Order Logic	103
7.4	First Order Theories	104
7.4.1	First Order Theories	106
7.4.2	Introducing Functions and Equality: First Order Theories with Equality	108
7.4.3	Some First Order Theories with Functions and Equality	111
7.5	Reasoning about First Order Reasoning	118
7.5.1	The First Order Soundness Theorem	118
7.5.2	The Completeness Theorem for First Order Natural Deduction	124
7.5.3	Deriving the First Order Completeness Theorem...	127
8	Questions of Consistency, ...	135
8.1	First Crisis: Arithmetizing Geometry	135
8.1.1	Crises in Mathematical Foundations I: Facing the Irrational	136
8.2	Taming the Infinite: The analysis of Change	141
8.2.1	Concepts of the Calculus from Newton and Leibniz Through the Seventeenth Century: the Analysis of Change and its Cumulative Effects in Space and Time	141
8.3	Second Crisis: Paradoxical Series	151
8.3.1	The Problem of the Convergence of Power Series: Cauchy's Criterion	151
8.3.2	The Problem of the convergence of Fourier Series: Cantor's Introduction of Sets and Completed Infinities	155
8.3.3	Reducing the Concept of Real Numbers to the Concept of Natural Numbers, with a Little Help from Sets	156
8.3.4	Defining Natural Numbers as Sets	161
8.4	Third Crisis, Set Theory Paradoxes	161
8.4.1	Trouble in Cantor's Paradise: Hilbert to the Rescue	161
8.4.2	Which Sets are Admissible? Logicism and the Formalization of Mathematics within Type Theory	161
8.4.3	The Consistency of Mathematics: Hilbert's Program	161
8.4.4	Set-Theoretical Foundations: First Order Set Theories	161

8.5	Classical Mathematics and Loss of Certainty...	161
8.5.1	Prelude to Gödel I: Exact Definitions of Decidability . . .	161
8.5.2	Prelude to Gödel II: Gödel Numbering: the Code for Talk- ing about Arithmetic in the Language of Arithmetic . . .	161
8.5.3	Prelude to Gödel III: Putting all the Ducks in a Row and How to Make Sentences Talk about Themselves	161
8.5.4	The Heart of the Matter: the Basic Arguments	161
8.5.5	Formalism and the “Loss of Certainty”: Implications for Analysis	161
8.5.6	The Demise of Metamathematical Certainty: Some Con- sequences	161
Appendix 1: Operator Precedence		63

List of Figures

1.1	Euler Diagram	9
1.2	Intersecting Circles	9
1.3	Euler Diagram with 3 Regions	10
1.4	Tree Diagram	10
1.5	Internal Diagram for Five Functions	15
1.6	Maps	16
1.7	Natural Number Equinumerous with Even Natural Numbers	17
1.8	Composition	19
2.1	The Two Element Boolean Lattice \mathbf{B}_2	33
2.2	Subformula Tree for U	54
2.3	Valuation Tree for U	55
2.4	Game Tree for $1 \vee (1 \wedge 0)$ (Example 1)	57
2.5	Game Tree for $(1 \vee 1) \wedge 0$ (Example 2)	58
2.6	Example 3 Valuation and Game Trees	61
2.7	Example 4 Valuation and Game Trees	61
5.1	Deducing Disjunctive Syllogism from the Spread Rule	58
5.2	Proof of What using Minimal Logic	59
6.1	Formalization of Argument	71
7.1	Directed Graph of Strict Partial Order on $A = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$	88
7.2	Game Tree for ' $\forall x \exists y xFy$ '	90
7.3	Valuation Tree for ' $\forall x \exists y xFy$ '	90
7.4	Game Tree for ' $\exists y \forall x xGy$ '	90
7.5	Valuation Tree for ' $\exists y \forall x xGy$ '	91
7.6	Closed tree constructed from sentence	94
8.1	Plot of Falling Speed versus Time	143
8.2	Plot of Falling Distance versus Time	144
8.3	Something About Limits	147

List of Tables

2.1	Logical Multiplication for \mathbf{B}_2	30
2.2	Logical Addition for \mathbf{B}_2	30
2.3	Logical Complementation for \mathbf{B}_2	30
2.4	Truth Functions	32
2.5	Matrix for Material Conditional	36
6.1	Categorical Statements	77